

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/658,966	BOND, JANINE R.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James Mackey	1722	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Amended

 (1) James Mackey.

(3) _____.

 (2) Beverly Bunting.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 July 2005
Time: 2:30PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

22, 29, 30, 33, 36, 38, 41, 45-48

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
Authorization was given to cancel newly-presented method claims 45-48 as being directed to an invention non-elected by original presentation; authorization was given to cancel claims 22 and 38 as being substantial duplicates of allowable claim 30 (see MPEP 706.03(k)); and authorization was given to amend claim 29 by referring to a powder box in order to agree with subsequent claim recitations, to amend claim 30 to refer to the previously recited powder box, to amend claim 33 to correct a misspelling, to amend claim 36 by changing the dependency in order to provide proper antecedent basis for "said first frame", and to amend claim 41 by changing the dependency in order to provide proper antecedent basis for "said carriage assembly"..